



ANTI-DOPING COMMISSION

1. General

40 In general 2010 was more or less a normal year apart from the work that the PD was to be included in our activities and program for 2011.

45 In April I again represented IDSF at the annual WADA Convention for International Federations at Lausanne, Switzerland. This Convention, held yearly, is a splendid opportunity to learn about anti-doping matters from the professionals and extend the IDSF anti-doping network including the NADO's. This year's spearhead was the biological passport for athletes: certain values of the blood sample analyses of the athletes are noticed in a yearly diagram so eventual deviations of normal pattern can be analysed. A new and modern way of anti-doping testing of which the professionals of WADA expect great benefits. For DanceSport is not very useful because a) blood testing is to be used (transportation problems to the laboratory) and b) the costs involved as you need to test the athlete several times a year (a minimum of 6-10 tests) so that the patterns of the athlete's blood values become clear. The costs per athlete are about € 6.000 – 7.500 per year. This is not realistic for the smaller IF's budgets.

55 By the end of the year the IDSF Registered Testing Pool was updated again because several couples either retired from IDSF competitions or split and also the PD athletes had to be included.

60 The newly-selected couples had to be instructed and guided in the matter of providing whereabouts information and ADAMS.

65 Managing and guidance of the whereabouts information of the athletes in the Registered Testing Pool. During the year 2010 it came to light that the quality of the whereabouts information of several athletes did not meet the required standards anymore. In order to correct the situation several athletes received instructions and warnings to improve their whereabouts information.

70 Replying to questions from Member bodies and athletes and solving problems continued as usual.

And, of course, we continued the testing activities.

75 ***Kudos to the GOC testing team: consisting of Dr. Ineke Crijns from the Netherlands and Dr. Thomas Wirth from Germany, both member of the IDSF Anti-Doping Commission and TUE Commission, assisted by Mario Schneider (Germany) and the voluntary staff of the competition administration at the GOC Event.***

80



ANTI-DOPING COMMISSION

2. Anti-Doping testing by IDSF

85 In 2010 again, in a very successful cooperation with the German IDSF Member DTV and the organizers of the GOC competitions, we conducted a series of anti-doping tests during the German Open Competitions (GOC) at Stuttgart, Germany, August 17-21, 2010.

Testing was organized and performed by a team of the IDSF Anti-Doping Commission (see above under Kudos) in close cooperation with GOC volunteers.
90 All tests at Stuttgart have been administrated in ADAMS.

For the testing at New York, USA (WC Latin), we entered into a contract with the NADO (USADA) of the USA. The USADA testing team was supported by Carol Post, USA, member of the IDSF Anti-Doping Commission.

95 Testing at Wetzlar, Germany (WC Standard), was done by a DTV/IDSF team consisting of dr. and mrs Thomas Wirth and myself. All tests at Wetzlar are administrated in ADAMS.

Summary: in 2010 IDSF performed Anti-Doping controls in the following IDSF competitions:

100

--- GOC Youth Latin, Stuttgart, Germany, August 17th, 2010;

--- GOC Youth Standard, Stuttgart, Germany, August 19th, 2010;

105

--- IDSF Grand Slam Latin, Stuttgart, Germany, August 19th, 2010;

--- IDSF Grand Slam Standard, Stuttgart, Germany, August 21st, 2010;

110

--- IDSF World Championships Latin, New York, USA, November 13th, 2010;

--- IDSF World Championships Standard, Wetzlar, Germany, November 27th, 2010;

--- Out-of-Competition: during August 2010 at Stuttgart, Germany.

115

No tests were performed in the Formation and Senior competitions due to budget limitations.

120

In total 57 athletes (29 male and 28 female, 24 tests at the GOC on account of the DTV) were tested; 39 in-competition and 18 out-of-competition (Stuttgart, Germany).

One positive test was reported. The rule violation was handled by the IDSF DC and a suspension imposed.

125

One athlete did not show up at the doping control room after being notified and was suspended for the other competitions at the GOC (Grand Slam and Rising Star).



ANTI-DOPING COMMISSION

One formal warning was issued to an athlete leaving the doping control room before finishing the sample collection.

- 130 A total 9 formal warnings have been issued to the athletes selected in the Registered Testing Pool for missed test/filing failure in out-of-competition testing.

Congratulations and thanks to all athletes for their cooperation.

- 135 The IDSF Member bodies of the athletes tested in 2010 are:

	Belarus	: 1 athlete;
	Canada	: 1 athlete;
	Czech	: 2 athletes;
140	Denmark	: 5 athletes;
	France	: 5 athletes;
	Germany	: 6 athletes;
	Hungary	: 2 athletes;
	Italy	: 7 athletes;
145	Japan	: 1 athlete;
	Lithuania	: 2 athletes;
	Moldavia	: 2 athlete;
	Poland	: 6 athletes;
	Russia	: 17 athletes;

- 150 The cooperation of most athlete's (especially the top ranked couples) is good, however we still see a tendency that some (lower ranked) athletes have a negative and patronizing attitude towards the anti-doping controls and the testing officials, especially younger athletes and athletes who are not so familiar with anti-doping controls.

- 160 Providing proper identification papers by the athletes *in the control rooms* has improved to an acceptable level, also because the organizer of the testing makes special arrangements before the actual testing. The ID's of the athletes with the competition administration has been improved in most of the competitions, except for a few, especially starting booklets with photo. But still in the Youth and Senior competitions, in which age limitations are so important as a condition for participation, often no proper ID papers are available and competition numbers are issued to couples without any proof that participation is justified within the age limitations. Special attention to this matter will be paid.

Kudos:

- 170 ***To the German IDSF Member DTV for their cooperation and financial assistance.***



ANTI-DOPING COMMISSION

3. Anti-Doping activities by the IDSF members

175 *Under the IDSF Anti-Doping Code, IDSF Members have the obligation to report their own anti-doping activities and testing, including the results, to the IDSF Anti-Doping Director.*

180 Early 2011 the IDSF General Secretary issued a brief Questionnaire to all members. Only 21 members replied to this questionnaire; not enough response to provide reliable statistical information.

For 2010 we received information about anti-doping activities from following IDSF members:

185 --- AUSTRIA: no testing performed. Works in close cooperation with the Austrian NADO (Code, education and information).

--- AUSTRALIA: no testing performed. Works in close cooperation with the NADO.

190 --- BELGIUM: no testing performed. In Belgium most of the smaller sport federations (38 i.e.) unites in the Flemish Anti-Doping organization to combine specialized personnel and financial means.

195 --- ECUADOR: reported that some test have been performed by the Ecuador Libre de Dopping and all tests are negative.

--- FINLAND: 4 tests reported, all results negative. Works in close cooperation with the Finish NADO, that also handles the TUE's. Provide anti-doping lectures to the national team in cooperation with the Finish NADO.

200 --- FRANCE: although the member for France has not completed a questionnaire, the laboratory reported **one positive test in France during 2010**. The rule violation has been handled by the France member.

205 --- GERMANY: 46 tests performed in national competitions and championships; 57 tests performed by others (IDSF and German NADO); all test results reported negative. The number includes also 4 tests in R&R competitions. DTV joint the German Institution of Arbitration for handling rule violations.

210 --- HUNGARIAN: no testing performed. Organises anti-doping lectures for athletes and trainers.

--- ICELAND: reported 6 tests performed, all negative. Works in close cooperation with the NADO, that also handles TUE's.

215 --- JAPAN: reported 7 tests performed, all negative.



ANTI-DOPING COMMISSION

- 220 --- KOREAN: no testing performed. Works in close cooperation with their NADO in anti-doping training of athletes and coaches.
- LIECHTENSTEIN: no tests reported. No policies and programs in place yet.
- 225 --- LITHUANIA: reported 4 tests performed. Works in cooperation with the Lithuanian NADO in education programs for athletes and trainers/coaches.
- LUXEMBOURG: works on close cooperation with the national NADO that works under the auspices of the Ministry of Sport.
- 230 --- NETHERLANDS: 16 tests performed by the national NADO in the National Championships; all test results negative.
- PORTUGAL: no testing performed. No financial funds available.
- 235 --- ROMANIA: performance of 8 tests reported. **The Bulgarian NADO reported one positive test that was handled by the DC of the Bulgarian NADO.**
- RUSSIA: 18 tests performed by the Russian NADO, all test results negative. The Russian member uses the IDSF Anti-Doping Code.
- 240 --- SCOTLAND: no testing reported. Information and education programs under UK Sport (NOC).
- SWITZERLAND: no testing reported.
- 245 --- U.S.A.: 18 tests performed by USADA, the NADO for the USA. All test results negative. USADA Rule Book applicable.

Associated members:

- 250 --- United Country and Western Dance Council, Inc.: 3 tests performed, all negative. IDSF Anti-Doping Code applicable.
- 255 ---- WRRRC: 12 tests reported, all negative.

4. Testing by others (WADA, NADO's) etc.

- 260 Out-of-competition testing (OOCT) WADA/IDSF is only applicable to top ranked athletes in each sport, national as well as international. Therefore two different testing pools are composed, national (by the National Anti-Doping Organization



ANTI-DOPING COMMISSION

(NADO) of the country) as well as international (by IDSF). The NADO's in each country deal with the national testing pool and the national top ranked athletes in all sports. IDSF deals with the international top ranked DanceSport athletes. WADA is authorized to test both groups.

The NADO's in each country have their own responsibility and powers in this respect. You, as a member of your NOC, must realize that your NADO can and will have its own policies that you have to follow. NADO's can and will order and perform anti-doping tests, in-competition as well as out-of-competition, in your national competitions. IDSF Members, as recognized national sports organizations, must cooperate also with such organizations and adhere to the requests for information and activities of their NADO's.

Whereabouts information is the basic for OOC. The success of the OOC depends directly on the quality of the information that authorized bodies receive. All IDSF selected athletes report their whereabouts in ADAMS.

WADA and the NADO's will follow up the results management of such violations closely or even handling the rule violation themselves (failing failure, missed tests, etc.).

Although we know that several NADO's do perform testing in various countries we have not received any report about such testing. WADA is trying to solve this lack of information exchange, however in general the NADO's are not very cooperative.

The following OOC tests performed by WADA have been reported in 2010:

- Lithuania, Kaunas, March 2010, 2 athletes;
- Poland, Bydgoszcz, October 2010, 2 athletes;
- Hungary, Szentes, August 2010, 2 athletes;
- England, London, April 2010, 2 athletes;
- England, London, April 2010, 2 athletes;

All test results were negative.

5. ADAMS, whereabouts, TUE's

The ADAMS system was slightly modified according to the latest requirements of the mandatory International Standard for Testing, especially the B-2 agonist medicines by inhalation (asthma).



ANTI-DOPING COMMISSION

For 2011 a number of 38 couples have been selected for the Registered Testing Pool, including the 6 IDSF PD couples. All these couples have to provide whereabouts information; all using ADAMS.

ADAMS is nowadays used for almost all anti-doping administration and testing result management like testing, whereabouts, TUE's, warnings, other sanctions, mission orders, etc. ADAMS is also used for declarations of medicine use for which no TUE is required (asthma medicines by inhalation).

Since no TUE's are required anymore for B-2 agonist medicines by inhalation (asthma), the application for TUE's has been reduced drastically, about 90%. The same tendency is mentioned in all other sports.

The IDSF Whereabouts and OOC Procedure as well as the IDSF TUE Procedure have been modified and published on the IDSF website (and submitted to the IDSF Members).

325 **6. Education and information:**

For several years now in this topic of my annual report I inform you about certain obligations of the various groups in DanceSport under the Anti-Doping Code.

330 For this year I have chosen to highlight the obligation of the members to report anti-doping activities to the IDSF Anti-Doping Director.

For many years we issue a brief questionnaire to all members to answer a few major questions about their anti-doping activities. Unfortunately this has never been a great success. Please note that also, for one reason or another, when your organisation is not performing testing in your national competitions, please complete the report so we become a better picture of the DanceSport community under IDSF.

340 After all its IDSF's obligation under the Olympic Charter and the World Anti-Doping Code towards WADA and the IOC, to provide reports and complete regularly questionnaires about the complete DanceSport community. Without your information the report can never be complete.

345 On the second place I want to highlight some duties that are written in the IDSF Anti-Doping Code:

350 --- art. 3, 1, 2: *Any member that nominates a participant to any IDSF-granted DanceSport Event (...) to undertake to nominate to IDSF Granted Events only participants who have agreed to comply with all of the duties (...) and who have*



ANTI-DOPING COMMISSION

signed the corresponding Forms of Consent. Members are liable to IDSGF for any omission by them or licensees

This means in practice that you as IDSF members are responsible that your registered athletes participating in IDSF competitions have accepted the IDSF Anti-Doping Code and completed and signed the Forms of Consent. This is an internal matter within your federation; between you and your athletes. You in fact will be liable for the consequences in administrative omissions.

Art. 3, I, 7: *No athlete shall be allowed to compete in his/her national championships, nor shall a member nominate an athlete to an IDSF-granted Event, unless and until such athlete agrees to subject him/herself to out-of-competition doping controls by both the member and the IDSF/WADA.*

The same obligation for just another athlete's duty.

Art. 3, I, 8: *Each member shall inform the IDSF Anti-Doping Representative (Anti-Doping Director) of any laboratory results indicating the presence of a prohibited substance in an athlete's A and/or B sample, contained in the course of doping controls carries out by that member.*

In other words, please keep us informed about your testing activities and testing results. The WADA system is closed anyway. As soon as an accredited laboratory finds an adverse analytical finding (official wording for a positive test) it will inform WADA and the International Federation, representing the sport, of the result of these analyses, showing the substances found, the country of testing and the testing date (laboratories never know names of athletes). So we know a positive test anyway without any supporting document and we have the right and the duty to know, even test results in your national competitions, including all supporting documents. A few months after such laboratory report we will receive an email from WADA requesting to report on the status of the particular rule violation as we are responsible for the result management of all our members.

Therefore, please provide us all information we ask for upon first request.

Art. 3, II, 4a: *Every member shall inform the IDSF Anti-Doping Representative of all its anti-doping activities and in particular of any laboratory results*

Please complete the questionnaires.

Art. 9, VII: *Appeals against Member's Anti-Doping decisions. IDSF and WADA have the right to appeal to CAS any decision by the competent body of each of IDSF's members in anti-doping matters.*

Therefore we have to know in all details what is going on in your federation regarding anti-doping. Not only that we do have the *right* to appeal, but towards WADA we do have the *obligation* to appeal if your decisions is not taken in accordance with the procedures and sanctions as written in the IDSF Anti-Doping Code. And if IDSF is not appealing, WADA will do so as all decisions of the IDFSF DC and your federation have to be send to WADA.

395



ANTI-DOPING COMMISSION

Special attention to be paid to the wording ***“the competent body of each member”***. Please make sure that the body handling rule violations in your organization has the authority and competence to do so anchored in your statutes. If not, the testing, efforts and money spent, are just wasted.

400

To conclude:

I would like to thank the IDSF Presidium and the AGM Delegates for the privilege of serving IDSF again as Chair of the IDSF Anti-Doping Commission and as IDSF Anti-Doping Director this past IDSF year.

405

Kudos: special thanks to all my colleagues of the IDSF Anti-Doping Commission that did such good work over the past years.

410

All of which is submitted with respect.

Sincerely,

415

Ko de Mooy

IDSF Anti-Doping Director/
Chair IDSF Anti-Doping Commission

420